## Part B-Program Assessment Worksheet Program Level Criteria- To Be Assessed by Evaluator

Name of the Institution :

Name of the Program :

## Criterion 1: Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes (100)

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks A	Awarded	0	verall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	
1.1	State the Vision and Mission of the Department and Institute and Program	5	A. Availability of vision and mission statements of the Department (1)					
	Educational Objectives		B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (1)					
			C. Consistency of the Department statements with the Institute statements (1)					
			D. PEO statements and their appropriateness (2)					
1.2	Indicate where the Vision, Mission and PEOs are published and	5	A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (1)					
	disseminated among		B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (1)					
	Stancerora		C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (3)					
1.3	Establish consistency of PEOs with Mission of the Department	5	A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (2)					
			B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (3)					
1.4	Establish the correction between the courses and	10	A. Evidence of COs being defined for every course (2)					
	the POs & PSOs		B. Availability of COs embedded in the syllabus (2)					
			C. Explanation of course articulation matrix table to be ascertained (2)					
			D. Explanation of program articulation matrix tables to be ascertained (4)					

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks	Awarded	(	Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y, C, W, D)	
1.5	Attainment of Course Outcomes	25						
1.5.1	Describe the assessment tools and processes used to gather the data upon which	5	A. List of assessment processes (1)					
	the evaluation of Course Outcome is based		B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes & tools used (4)					
1.5.2	Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	20	A. Verification attainment levels as per the bench mark set for all courses (20)					
1.6	Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	25						
1.6.1`	Describe assessment tools and processes used for assessing the attainment of	5	A. List of assessment tools & processes (2)					
	each of the POs & PSOs		B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (3)					
1.6.2	Provide results of evaluation of each PO & PSO	20	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO/PSO (15)					
			B. Overall levels of attainment (5)					
1.7	Evidence of Solving Complex Engineering Programs	25	A. Verification of documents related to mini projects/major projects/term projects/ independent study/problem-based learning approach adopted or any other activities conducted specifically which reflect the solving of complex engineering problems (25)					
	Total of Criterion 1:	100	Overall Marks and Gra	ade for Cr	riterion 1:			

Criterion 2: Program Currice	ulum and Teaching –	<b>Learning Processes (7</b>	′5)
------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------	-----

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	-	rks rded	Ov	erall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons)
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	
2.1	Program Curriculum	15						
2.1.1	Structure of the curriculum	5	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 2.1.1 is that the curriculum is well balanced & appropriate for a Degree program (5)					
2.1.2	identify extent of compliance of the curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes (POs) & Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs)	10	Process used to identify extent of compliance of the curriculum for attaining POs & PSOs (10)					
2.2	Teaching-Learning Processes	60						
2.2.1	Describe the Process followed to improve quality	15	A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (2)					
	of Teaching Learning		B. Pedagogical initiatives (2)					
			C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students (2)					
			D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (2)					
			E. Conduct of experiments (Observation in Lab) (2)					
			F. Continuous Assessment in the laboratory (3)					
			G. Student feedback on teaching learning process and actions taken (2)					
2.2.2	examination, internal semester question papers,	15	A. Process for internal semester question paper setting, evaluation and effective process implementation (3)					
	assignments and evaluation		B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (2)					
			C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (5)					
			D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (5)					

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Mai Awai	_	0\	verall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	
2.2.3	Quality of student projects	15	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to faculty members (1)					
			B. Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs and PSOs (2)					
			C. Process related to Industry (2)					
			D. Process for monitoring and evaluation (2)					
			E. Process to assess individual and team performance (2)					
			F. Quality of completed projects/working prototypes (4)					
			G. Evidences of papers published /Awards received by projects etc. (2)					
2.2.4	Initiatives related to industry interaction/	10	A. Industry supported laboratories (2)					
	industry / internship/summer training		B. Industry involvement in the curriculum design and in partial delivery of any regular courses for students (2)					
			C. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment (2)					
			D. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and industry training and actions taken there of (2)					
			E. Student feedback on initiative (2)					
2.5	Initiatives related the new education policy	5	Initiatives towards the new education policy (5)					
	Total of Criterion 2:	75	Overall Marks and Grade	for Crite	rion 2:			

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	_	rks rded	O	verall	Observations Justifications/	of Reasons)	Evaluators	(Provide
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)		ĺ		
3.1	Enrolment Ratio	15	A. >=90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years					Sanctioned	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2
			starting from current academic year (15)  B. >=80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on					intake Students enrolled at first	:		
			average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current					Enrolment ratio			
			c. >=70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the					enrolment ratio (ER) for past 3 years			
			previous three academic years starting from current academic year (10)					Comments (if an	y):		
			D. >=60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic								
			years starting from current academic year (8)  E. Otherwise '0'								
3.2	Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program	15									
3.2.1	Success rate without backlogs in any Semester/year of study	10	SI= (Number of students, who graduated from the program without backlog)/(Number of						/G	LYGm1	LYGm2
	Without Backlog means: No repeat(s) in any course in		students admitted in the first year of that batch and admitted in 2 <sup>nd</sup> year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable).					Success Index (SI) Average Success			
	semester/ year of study		Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches Success rate without backlogs in any year of study = 10 * Average					Index (SI) for past 3 years			
			SI					Comments (if any	/):		

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)		rks rded	0	verall	Observations of Reasons)	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons)				
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)						
3.2.2	Success rate in stipulated period (actual duration of the program) (Total of with backlog +without backlog)	5	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program with backlog in the stipulated period of course duration)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and admitted in 2 <sup>nd</sup> year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)  Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches  Success rate= 5 * Average SI					Success Index (SI)  Average Success Index (SI) for past 3 years  Comments (if any		LYGm1	LYGm2		
3.3	Academic Performance in Second Year	5	Academic Performance Level = 0.5 * Average API (Academic Performance Index)  API = ((Mean of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year / 10)) * (number of successful students / number of students appeared in the examination)  Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the 3 <sup>rd</sup> year.					API  Average API for past 3 years  Comments (if any		CAYm2	CAYm3		
3.4	Academic Performance in Third Year	5	Academic Performance Level = 0.5* Average API (Academic Performance Index)  API = ((Mean of 3 <sup>nd</sup> Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in 3 <sup>rd</sup> Year / 10)) * (number of successful students /students appeared in the examination)  Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the final year					API  Average AP for past 3 years  Comments (if any	s	CAYm2	CAYm3		

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	-	rks rded	Overall		Observations of I Reasons	valuators	(Provide J	ustifications/
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)				
3.5	Placement, Higher studies and Entrepreneurship	15	Assessment Points = 15 * average of three years of [ (X + Y + Z)/N] where, X = Number of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment Y = Number of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.) Z = No. of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology.					Placement Index  Average Placement Index for past 3 years  Comments (if any):	CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
			N =Total number of final year students								
3.6	Professional Activities	20		L							
3.6.1	Professional societies/ chapters and organizing engineering events	5	A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3)     B. Number, quality of engineering events (2) (organized at Institute level-								
3.6.2	Publication of technical magazines, newsletters, etc.	5	Institute/State/National/International)  A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3)  B. Participation of Students from the								
2.6.2	Participation in inter-	5	program (2)								
3.6.3	institute events by students of the program of study (at other institutions)	5	<ul> <li>A. Events within the state (1)</li> <li>B. Events outside the state (1)</li> <li>C. Prizes/awards received in such events (3)</li> </ul>								
3.6.4	Participation in national/ International competitive events by students of the program of study	5	A. Participation in National competitive events (2)      B. Participation in International competitive events (3)								
	Total of Criterion 3:	75	Overall Marks and Grad	e for Crit	erion 3:						

SN	Sub Criteria		Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Mai Awai	_	0	verall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justification Reasons)				
					Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)					
.1	Student-Faculty (SFR)	Ratio	15	Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 15 to a minimum of 10 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution given as below <pre></pre>					Total No.of students† (2,3,4 years) in UG programs in Dept.  Total No.of students†(1,2 years) in PG programs in Dept.  S=Number of Students in the Department= UG1 + UG2 + + UGn + PG1 +PGn  F=Total no.of faculty members in the Dept.(excluding first year faculty)  SFR  Average SFR for past 3 years  Note: Number of students (if any): ❖		=Sanctioned	CAYm2	

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Mai Awai	_	C	Overall	Observations of Reasons)	Evaluators	(Provide	Justifications,
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)				
4.2	Faculty Cadre Proportion	10	Cadre Proportion Marks:  AF1  RF1  • If AF1 = AF2 = 0, then zero mark  • Maximum marks to be limited if it exceeds 10(Refer calculation in SAR)					No.of Professors  No.of Associate Professors  No.of Assistant Professors  Comments (if any):	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2
4.3	Faculty Qualification	10	FQ=[{10X +4Y}/F] where,  X is no. of faculty with Ph.D.,  Y is no. of faculty with M.Tech,  F is no. of faculty required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty and no. of students required to be calculated as per 4.1)					No.of Ph.D holders No.of M.Tech/ME holders Comments (if any):	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2
4.4	Faculty Retention	5	<ul> <li>A. ≥90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (5)</li> <li>B. ≥75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (4)</li> <li>C. ≥60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (3)</li> <li>D. ≥50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (2)</li> <li>E. Otherwise (0)</li> </ul>					No.of Faculty Retain Total No.of Requirements (if any):	ired Faculty  Ulty retained  retained for		CAYm1
4.5	Faculty competencies in correlation to curriculum	5	A. Specialization     B. Research publications     C. Course development     D. Other relevant points								

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Mai Awai	rded		Overall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/Reasons)
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	
4.6	Innovations by the Faculty in Teaching and Learning	5	A. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective presentation (2)     B. Availability of work on Institute Website (1)     C. Availability of work for peer review and critique (1)     D. Reproductivity and reusability by other scholars for further development (1)					
4.7	Faculty as participants in Faculty development /training activities / STTPs	10	For each year: Assessment = 2*Sum/0.5*RF  Average assessment over three years starting from CAYm1(Marks limited to 10)					Assessment points are:  Average assessment points for past 3 years  Comments (if any):
4.8	Research and Development	30			l	<u> </u>	1	
4.8.1	Academic Research	10	A. Number of quality publications in refereed/SCI Journals, citations, Books/Book Chapters etc. (7)  B. Ph.D awarded during the assessment period while working in the institute (3)					No. of Ph.Ds awarded No. of Scopus indexed papers published No. of Web of Science indexed papers published No. of other indexed papers published  No. of other indexed papers published  Comments (if any):  ❖

SN Sub Criteria		Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	_	rks rded	0\	erall	Observations of Reasons)	Evaluators	(Provide	Justifications/
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade(Y, C,W,D)				
4.8.2	Sponsored Research	10	Funded research from <b>outside</b> ; Cumulative CAYm1, CAYm2, CAYm3: Amount>50 Lakhs- 10 Marks Amount>40 and ≤50 Lakhs-7 Marks Amount>30 and ≤40 Lakhs-5 Marks Amount≥15 and ≤30 Lakhs-3 Marks Amount<15 Lakhs-0 Mark					No. of projects  Amount (Rs.In Lakhs)  Total amount for past 3 years Comments (if any):	CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
4.8.3	Development Activities	5	A. Product development     B. Research laboratories     C. Working models/ charts/monograms etc.								
4.8.4	Consultancy (From Industry)	5	Consultancy; Cumulative CAYm1, CAYm2, CAYm3: Amount ≥10 Lakhs - 5 Marks Amount <10 and ≥8 Lakhs-4 Marks Amount <8 and ≥6 Lakhs-3 Marks Amount <6 and ≥4 Lakhs -2 Marks Amount <4 and ≥2 Lakhs-1 Mark Amount <2 Lakhs - 0 Mark					No. of projects  Amount (Rs.In Lakhs)  Total amount for past 3 years  Comments (if any):	CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
4.9	Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS)	5	A. A well-defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for all the assessment years (2)      B. Its implementation and effectiveness (3)								
4.10	Visiting/Adjunct/Emerit us Faculty etc.	5	A. Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/ Emeritus faculty etc. (1)  B. Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (2 marks for last 2 years: 2*2=4)					No. of hours  Comments (if any):	AY/m1 CA	Ym1/m2	CAYm2/m3
	Total of Criterion 4:	100	Overall Marks and Grade	for Crite	erion 4:						

## Criterion 5: Resource (75)

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Awarded		Overall			of	Evaluators	(Provide	Justifications/
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	Reasons)				
5.1	Adequate and well- equipped laboratories, and technical manpower	25	A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific curriculum (15)									
			B. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff (10)									
5.2	Laboratories: Maintenance and overall ambience	5	Maintenance and overall ambience (5)									
5.3	Safety measures in laboratories	5	Safety measures in laboratories (5)									
5.4	Project laboratory	15	Facilities & Utilization (15)									
5.5	Feedback analysis and reward/ corrective measures taken, if any	5	A. Feedback collected for all courses: YES/NO (1)      B. Feedback collection process (1)      C. Average Percentage of students who participate (1)      D. Feedback analysis process (1)      E. Number of corrective actions taken (1)									

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Awarded		Overall		Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons)
<u> </u>				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	
5.6	Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization	10						
5.6.1	Adequacy of Budget allocation	5	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (3)					
			B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (2)					
5.6.2	Utilization of allocated funds	5	A. Budget utilization for three years (5)					
5.7	Library and Internet	10			1			
5.7.1	Quality of learning resource (hard/soft)	6	A. Availability of relevant learning resource including e-resource and digital library (4)					
			B. Accessibility to students (1)		-			
			C. Support for self-learning (1)					
5.7.2	Internet	4	A. Available bandwidth and Wi-Fi availability (2)			-		
			B. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments and Security mechanism (2)		-			
	Total of Criterion 5:	75	Overall Marks and Gr	ade for C	Triterion 5:			

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Awarded		0	verall	Observations of Evaluators (Provide
				Marks	Total	Marks	Grade (Y,C,W,D)	Justifications/ Reasons)
6.1	the results of evaluation	30	A. Documentation of POs and PSOs attainment levels (15)					
	of each of the POs and PSOs		B. Identification of gaps/short falls (5)					
			C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)					
6.2	Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement (10)					
6.3	Improvement in Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship	15	A. Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 3.5) (Marks to begiven proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAYm3)  Improvement in Placement numbers, quality, core hiring industry and pay packages (5)  B. Improvement in Higher Studies admissions (5)					
			C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (5)					
6.4	Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	10	Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in qualifying state level/national level entrances tests, percentage Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics marks in 12th Standard and percentage marks of the lateral entry students (10)					
6.5	Remedial action taken on the observations made during last accreditation visit /New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced /Improvement made after last visit	10	New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced/Improvement made after last visit. (10)					
	after last visit  Total of Criterion 6:	75	Overall Marks and Gra	ide for Cr	riterion 6:			